

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,207	01/16/2001	Iris Pecker	00/21505	1817
75	90 05/11/2004		EXAM	INER
G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN	
SUITE 207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		:			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/759,207	PECKER ET AL.			
N.Y.	Examiner	Art Unit			
·.	DiBrino Marianne	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indicate of the contract of the contra	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a)— he period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other:					
Mariane D 5/7/0) <i>(</i>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The limitations recited in the proposed claims 13-25 at least 70%, 60%, 95% and 85% homologous to SEQ ID NO: 2 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The limitations at least 65%, 75%, 85% and 95% homologous to SEQ ID NO: 2 raise the issue of new matter. Applicant points to support for these limitations in parent application US Patent No. 5,968,822 at column 6, lines 56-61 and column 12 at lines 43-48; however, the disclosure at the said locations are for at least 60%, 70% 80% and 90% homology.

CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600